

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/757,810	01/10/2001	Jochen Voss	Mo-6029/LeA 34,199	7359
75	90 06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Bryan H. Opalko			BISSETT, MELANIE D	
Buchanan Ingersoll, P.C. One Oxford Centre		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
301 Grant Street 20th Flr.			1711 DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	
Pittsburgh, PA 15219				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	TH				
Advisom, Action	09/757,810	VOSS, JOCHEN					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Melanie D. Bissett	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in	ıed				
•	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MF R 1.136(a) and the appropriate e ount of the fee. The appropriate e originally set in the final Office a	PEP extension extension ction; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place	e the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	y				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.	• I				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ļ				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)  disapproved by t	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added claims present issues not previously addressed by the examiner. Thus, the new claims woul require further consideration by the examiner. Regarding the arguments that the references do not suggest the amounts of swelling materials claimed in the independent claims, it is specifically noted that Wolf teaches using metal salts in a preferred amount of 0.05-2.5% by weight (col. 4 lines 23-27), and Lane teaches ratios of anticaking agent to metal salt as low as 2:1. This gives a preferred endpoint of 0.1% by weight anticaking agent.

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700